

BOARD OF SELECTMEN

Town of Greenland · Greenland, NH 03840

11 Town Square • PO Box 100
Phone: 603.431.7111 • Fax: 603.430.3761
Website: greenland-nh.com

MINUTES OF THE BOARD OF SELECTMEN

Monday, April 01, 2019 – 7:00 p.m. – Town Hall Conference Room

Members Present: Chester Deorocki, Chip Hussey, Rick Hussey, Jim Rolston, Steve Smith Also Present: Matt Scruton - Town Administrator, Jim Marchese – Building Inspector, Paul Hayden – Property Maintenance Supervisor/Road Agent, Liz Cummings - Bookkeeper

Chair Hussey opened the Board of Selectmen meeting at 7:00 p.m. A roll call was taken; it was announced a quorum was present and the meeting was being recorded.

I. APPOINTMENT

1. Fire Department: Chief Ralph Cresta

New Hire: Chief Cresta updated the Board that he would be hiring a second full-time firefighter by June; a background check will be starting at the end of April or first of May. The firefighter is currently completing one of his courses.

Shredding Paperwork: Chief Cresta would like to hire a company to shred records that have been on file for over seven years; he's hoping the cost will be under \$1,000. Any shredding the Town has could be done at the same time.

MOTION: C. Deorocki moved to authorize Chief Cresta to hire a company to shred records that have been on file over seven years. Second – R. Hussey; all in favor. MOTION CARRIED

Fire Station Engineering/Design: Funds were encumbered from the 2018 warrant article for Fire Station Engineering/Design: Civil Engineering - \$37,300 and Structural Engineering - \$17,000. Payment to Ricci Construction, \$7,000, was not encumbered. There is an outstanding bill to Altus Engineering for \$10,366 to be paid from the Civil Engineering encumbered funds.

C. Deorocki stated he didn't want to move forward with the engineering; the warrant article failed. The project doesn't go forward in his opinion; that's it. It's done. Chair Hussey questioned the additional engineering. Chief Cresta responded civil engineering for ground studies and water, drainage, etc. He added it was voted on and the company was hired. J. Marchese noted it was important to understand the funds were coming from a warrant article that was approved in 2018 in the amount of \$75,000 for design of the fire station. R. Hussey commented that it still had to go through and couldn't really be stopped; it was voted on. Chair Hussey stated the warrant article was voted on and passed, and the monies were encumbered. He continued everyone on the Board knew that eventually the fire station would be built. Tim Collins, Winnicut Road, suggested encumbering the funds and leaving them; engineering and drainage could be 100% different in two or three years. It was clarified that funds could only be encumbered for one year.

Chair Hussey gave the Board two options: revisiting this or make a decision tonight. C. Deorocki stated he was ready to go. S. Smith stated that in his opinion it was a pointless matter; the money was already there, had been spent and was in the budget. S. Smith: move forward; R. Hussey: finish what was voted on in 2018; J. Rolston: move forward. Chair Hussey stated, for the record, the Board wanted Chief Cresta to move forward.

MOTION: R. Hussey moved to continue with the civil engineering and structural engineering for the proposed fire station not to exceed the encumbered balances. Second – S. Smith; four in favor, one against (C. Deorocki). MOTION CARRIED

2. Recreation Commission

Sharon Hussey-McLaughlin, Recreation Commission Chairman, Erika Coombs and Ken Avery were present. Reappointment to the Rec Commission was requested by S. Hussey-McLaughlin and E. Coombs.

MOTION: J. Rolston moved to reappoint S. Hussey-McLaughlin and E. Coombs to the Recreation Commission. Second – C. Deorocki; all in favor. MOTION CARRIED

- S. Hussey-McLaughlin addressed the Board regarding Maloney Field. She has had conversations with a local contractor regarding upgrades to the field. An offer was made and the Rec Commission was running quickly, and the reason they didn't go in the correct order. She had emailed C. Deorocki that they were looking to add a second multi-purpose field. Bids were received from Bourassa Construction and McNeil Construction; they were working on a third quote.
- S. Hussey-McLaughlin explained that they are spending Recreation money; the current balance is approximately \$65,000 to \$67,000. They anticipate the project will take a couple of years. They plan to move the earth, let it settle, loam and seed, and add irrigation. Fill would be taken from the hill behind the existing multi-purpose field; this is where the second multi-purpose field would be located.
- C. Deorocki was contacted by S. Hussey-McLaughlin about the project; she was told to halt and not go any further. With people in the office, a new Board and new Town Administrator, she wasn't surprised; the process to move forward wasn't clear.
- S. Hussey-McLaughlin had a few bullets that the Rec was looking for assistance with. The previous Town Administrator was their "go to" person and had the administrative rights to the Rec website. The previous Town Administrator also posted all the check and cash payments to their website; L. Cummings has made copies of all the payments received. Information not being entered has caused a problem. They would prefer that L. Cummings do the data entry due to her accounting background. S. Hussey-McLaughlin continued that they would like to have P. Hayden available on a weekend to do the locks on the fields. She can only do it later at night or on the weekend.
- C. Deorocki had told her there was "chatter" about giving Rec administrative help. S. Hussey-McLaughlin stated that would be "awesome"; they didn't need a lot of help. She's an accountant; it's tax season and she's really busy. Minutes are available in shorthand; she would like to scan and email them to someone to be written up. Documentation would then be available. She would also like help with invoice submission. She knew the members of the Rec, but was unaware of their expiration dates; she would like a list of those. Meetings are being moved back to the first Wednesday of the month and will be held in the Cafeteria at Greenland School at 7 p.m.

- S. Hussey-McLaughlin requested permission to show L. Cummings the reports she would like on a monthly basis from QuickBooks in an Excel format. The previous Town Administrator provided reports; S. Hussey-McLaughlin didn't like them, but didn't take the time to tell her they weren't particularly helpful.
- J. Rolston asked if there was anyone on their committee who would volunteer to do the administrative part. S. Hussey-McLaughlin responded she hadn't asked. They all meet once a month; when they're not meeting they're coaching or doing other stuff. She would like to email her minutes and have someone here type them; it wouldn't take a long time and would help her out a great deal. The biggest bookkeeping headache for L. Cummings was not getting the minutes so invoices could be approved. K. Avery added that the reason the Rec Committee works well is because it's program driven. For that season everyone is in charge of invoicing, paying referees, etc. He felt it would be hard for someone overseeing all the programs to do the administrative work; it wasn't how they were set up. S. Hussey-McLaughlin added that when in their season, they were busy—their life, family, work and program. E. Coombs commented they were all volunteers and not getting paid.

A liaison in the Town Hall was suggested by E. Coombs; Chair Hussey noted it was the Town Administrator. S. Hussey-McLaughlin stated they didn't want to overload him; payments needed to be entered and administrative access was needed to their website. J. Rolston suggested someone on their committee have access to it and they should contact the previous Town Administrator directly. S. Hussey-McLaughlin will contact her.

Bob Krasko, Portsmouth Avenue, stated that S. Hussey-McLaughlin told him that \$30,000 was needed for repairs to Krasko, Maloney and Caswell fields. She clarified it wasn't \$30,000 to Krasko Field. B. Krasko questioned the need for another all-purpose field when there are four fields in this Town and Portsmouth was building two new fields. S. Hussey-McLaughlin noted they share the fields with Greenland School. E. Coombs stated that Krasko Field is falling back into its swamp; B. Krasko commented it was because they didn't take care of the field. At this point, Chair Hussey stated if anyone started with insults, it wouldn't be permitted; they would be asked to leave. B. Krasko voiced his concern about parking.

There was further discussion regarding repairs to and the use of Krasko, Maloney and Caswell fields. The big project was to add another all-purpose field; Maloney Field is used and/or rented on a regular basis. Maintenance of fields was a problem because they were always being used. Another field would allow field repair and maintenance. The parking issue at Maloney Field was discussed. It was noted that children from other towns pay to participate in Greenland's Rec programs.

- K. Avery felt it was the Town's responsibility to maintain the field and not in their purview. C. Deorocki was in agreement, 100%. They should be doing it for the kids; they were volunteers. S. Hussey-McLaughlin noted that parents have stepped up to help. E. Coombs didn't "love" the implication that they weren't doing enough to maintain the fields.
- C. Deorocki noted for the record that the new field was kind of news to him; he wasn't super aware of the field until he received this information. He was for it, though; you can't build fields fast enough. You couldn't build houses fast enough. He didn't think we get enough for the field rentals; fields are a premium. He thought it was a good idea if they could go forward.

There was a problem about an old warrant article: the Town fulfilling an obligation to acquire land for a cemetery because Maloney Field was supposed to be a cemetery. M. Scruton clarified the action was

taken at the 1987 Town Meeting to vote to purchase original land; it was designated specifically for a cemetery in the warrant. In 2004, the Town voted to repurpose the fields for recreation provided that the Town acquire additional cemetery land which, to date, the Town has not done. Should the Town invest, or continue to invest, in further converting the fields to recreation without acquiring the additional cemetery land which was contingent on the 2004 vote? J. Rolston stated they were presented with information several months ago that the Town wouldn't run out of space for at least ten more years; a rec field was needed now vs. 10 years from now. C. Deorocki asked if the Town was in negotiations to purchase cemetery land as we speak; P. Hayden responded it's been brought to the Board. C. Deorocki stated it's been talked about it as a Board and they had a presentation. J. Rolston added they did, but they hadn't made a decision. C. Deorocki: Correct, but it's a reality. It was something he thought they were all moving forward on the way they left it. It was a prior Board; he understood that. If that's one of the hurdles, it seems we're approaching that hurdle sooner than later.

Chair Hussey stated there were other hurdles that needed to be looked at on the property. J. Marchese looked at the site. C. Deorocki: there's a lot to it, absolutely. P. Hayden stated that soil samples were done five or six years ago at the field for future use. J. Marchese stated that his concern was it had been a gravel pit; gravel pits have very poor drainage. The survey given to the Board showed the drainage basin; the blue area would be draining into where they wanted to put the field. It's at the bottom of the slope of everything they wanted to do. The 2010 aerial photo on the Town's GIS shows a picture of the area when flooded. He asked if a wetland scientist evaluated the area for wetlands. Excavating an area larger than shown on the plan may be approaching an area of 100,000 sq. ft. of impact and require additional permitting through the State. He added that it wasn't a good idea to place a field where there was a strong potential for flooding.

C. Deorocki stated he needed clarity on the warrant article. Earlier tonight, it was voted to continue the engineering on the fire station project because it was passed on a warrant article. What's the difference here? The warrant article to continue the engineering, this Board voted to pass; to keep going on it. Now we have the same situation. We have a warrant article that says we need to buy cemetery land before that happens. And now it's not a big deal. It's the same game but different rules on this topic. And, he's for the fields so he's shooting himself in the foot. But, everything else is moot if we have to go by this warrant article. Wetlands and all that stuff down the road; it's done if you can't do it. So, before we even continue this discussion, I'd like some clarity on that. M. Scruton added that the spirit of the warrant seemed to be that the transfer would occur providing that the Town acquired some cemetery land, and that has not occurred. The way he read the warrant: the field shouldn't be used at all for recreation. However, that wasn't what he was proposing. Reading the warrant to the letter, that's how the warrant reads. P. Hayden explained that if the Town didn't purchase an equal amount of land for a cemetery, it reverted back to cemetery land. The idea was to purchase land for a cemetery and that stay as rec fields.

After further discussion, J. Marchese will contact NHDES to look at the area. Chair Hussey clarified that the Rec Commission didn't have to ask to spend their money; the Board of Selectmen have to give permission to do it on Town property. He also reiterated that they don't have enough parking for two fields and now they were adding a third. Further discussion was tabled until J. Marchese can meet with NHDES. J. Marchese told Rec Commission members the next step was to get an overall plan of the total excavation area so they know they're not going over the limits and have to get another permit that may be required. S. Smith noted there was a right-of-way issue with the gate for the gas company.

M. Scruton suggested they look at the additional cost to complete the project including loam, seed and irrigation. C. Deorocki would like to see an estimated cost on all that. S. Hussey-McLaughlin wanted to

remind the Town that they were spending Rec money, not Town money, on this project. She added: we will use **our** money wisely to get the field ready. J. Rolston asked if \$65,000 would be enough money when the final bill came in; they didn't know. His response: they ought to know. J. Rolston suggested they contact the Maloney Foundation for a donation. Advertising on the rec fields was briefly discussed.

II. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

1. Monday, March 18, 2019

J. Rolston noted a correction from "quite" to "quiet" (Old Business, Item 2 – Weeks Library Trustees, last paragraph on page 4).

MOTION: C. Deorocki moved to approve the minutes of Monday, March 18, 2019, as amended. Second – S. Smith; all in favor. MOTION CARRIED

III. OLD BUSINESS

1. Coakley Update

M. Scruton attended a Coakley Group meeting in Portsmouth on Thursday, March 28, 2019. There wasn't much said in the public session. NHDES is recommending stricter standards for the PFAS chemicals in drinking water. They are looking for public input; M. Scruton suggested that the Board send a letter to NHDES asking them to impose stricter standards than recommended.

MOTION: J. Rolston moved to authorize the Board Chairman to sign the letter to NHDES recommending stricter standards for PFAS chemicals found in drinking water. Second – R. Hussey; all in favor. MOTION CARRIED

2. Weeks Library: Architect's Agreement Amendments

There were several items to be discussed; no action was required. The Board has not received a copy of the amendment to the Architect's Agreement from the Library Trustees as discussed at their meeting on March 13, 2019. The Building Inspector also included a memo regarding the Library and abutting land ownership.

Marcia McLaughlin, Library Trustee Chairman, has been out of town and was unaware that the amended agreement needed to be provided to the Selectmen. She will get it to M. Scruton this week. Craig McLaughlin, Building Committee Chairman, explained that in the agreement executed with Lavallee Brensinger, Lavallee assumed a certain number of meetings and work with the Planning Board. Greenland, over the 15 months, has far exceeded what is normally done. Lavallee is proposing additional funds to cover time they've had to spend beyond what is included in an agreement. The Building Committee's advisor felt the proposal was reasonable considering the additional time required. In the amendment to the agreement, Lavallee explained the additional cost of \$30,000 to cover work to date. They also recommended an additional \$10,000 for work to be done in the future. This was dependent on how much more information the Planning Board requested, which ties into the letter from the Planning Board. The Library has appeared in front of the Planning Board ten times to date. It wasn't clear to him when that was going to end. The Library has allowed an additional \$10,000 to answer any questions from the Planning Board.

M. Scruton added that a letter addressing parking from Chief Laurent was included in the packet.

3. Weeks Library: Planning Board Letter to Board of Selectmen

The Planning Board has written a letter to the Board of Selectmen regarding their concerns for safety and public health as it relates to the Library expansion. C. Deorocki stated that, in his opinion, when the questions from the Planning Board are answered is when it ends. Everybody has their fair share of blame in the delay, for the record. C. McLaughlin disagreed with him. He felt that every one of the items mentioned in the letter have been addressed by the responsible Board which is the Library Trustees, not the Planning Board. The Library project, a municipal building, is the responsibility of the Library Trustees; they make the management decisions. They have been kept informed and have an excellent architectural firm and engineer to address them. It's unfortunate that the Planning Board doesn't have all the details. However, the normal practice in New Hampshire is that a Library project might appear one to three times, not ten or more.

- C. McLaughlin continued that most of the items were management issues under the authority of the Library Trustees. It would not be prudent for Greenland to delay construction until all of the items were resolved. The contingency for the project is approximately 70% committed due to additional costs from the engineer, legal costs, escalation, etc. Ground breaking will be delayed six to nine months. Their position is it would not be prudent to delay until all of the items are explained in full to the Planning Board which has questionable authority over management decisions for the Library.
- M. McLaughlin added the Planning Board has stated repeatedly they are advisory only. The parking agreement with the School and the agreement with the Church on the driveway can be worked on without having to wait until construction. The easement and septic approval are in progress. She asked the Board not to continue to slow down the project.
- C. Deorocki stated the list is down from 51 items to 9; this is progress. Parking is an issue; they weren't going to discuss anything that hasn't been discussed 100 times, everybody knows this. Advisory or not, he has a lot of respect for the Planning Board and he follows a lot of their recommendations; not all of them, but most of them. The Library has a great team; we do too.
- C. McLaughlin agreed they were good people trying to do their job. He felt the confusion was who has the authority to make key decisions on a Library project. C. Deorocki responded the Trustees and Selectmen. C. McLaughlin stated that the Library Trustees, according to NH law, have management authority including where the addition will be and the design. C. Deorocki stated the Select Board has some authority as well. The Planning Board is an advisory recommendation Board. That's it; it seemed pretty simple to him. There were nine questions; nine answers, and then we can move on. Black and white; nine answers. Just write down nine answers. It would make him happy. Simple.
- J. Rolston stated some of the questions seem to have been covered before and answered. The Planning Board didn't like the answer, but they have been answered. C. Deorocki responded: let's see the answers, right or wrong. Let's see the answers; narrow it down and work it out. J. Rolston continued that the plan for fire access was to go straight in; that was the answer. C. Deorocki: all right; there's one. Let's work it out. He wasn't trying to be difficult, at all.
- R. Hussey asked if there was a work session coming up with the Planning Board and Selectmen. Chair Hussey stated it didn't have anything to do with the Library; it would be dealing with the CIP. R. Hussey suggested they try to resolve something with the Planning Board; this was beating a dead horse. Chair

Hussey agreed, adding some of the arguments didn't make sense and had nothing to do with life safety; they were claiming it does. He felt it would continue beating a dead horse until the Library was built.

C. McLaughlin stated that the Altus letter, requested by the Planning Board, had 53 comments. In the same letter Altus stated "we understand there is no obligation for the Library to comply with these comments". The Library Trustees have great respect for the Town regulations and are trying to honor them as best they can. Every month delay makes it more difficult for them to do that.

L. Cummings asked if all the issues were resolved by a magic wand right now, would construction begin on a piece of property where the owner is unknown. Chair Hussey responded "no"; M. McLaughlin stated they were still working on that. Chair Hussey added, for the record, that the Town Attorney has been given the go ahead on the quiet title.

4. <u>Hiring of Attorney for PDA Matters</u>

M. Scruton informed the Board that a number of attorneys have had conflicts and cannot represent Greenland in PDA matters. Attorney Biron Bedard, Ransmeier & Spellman, was recommended to represent the Town on issues relating to the Lonza expansion. That project has received approval from the Portsmouth Planning Board. They won't receive an occupancy permit until after the construction. There's still a chance that Greenland could seek contribution towards necessary improvements on Rt. 33 as a result of regional impact. J. Rolston stated that Lonza seems to be not willing to help Greenland. If they're not allowing a lawyer to represent Greenland because of a past personnel matter, they're not being very helpful. A personnel matter shouldn't revolve into this. He continued that Greenland will be allowed a PDA member; the Board should ask for volunteers.

Chair Hussey stated he has spoken to the Mark Fougere, Planning Board Consultant. M. Fougere's opinion was that the Town wouldn't get anywhere without an attorney.

MOTION: C. Deorocki moved to retain the services of Attorney Biron Bedard of Ransmeier & Spellman to represent the Town in future Lonza matters. Second – J. Rolston; all in favor. MOTION CARRIED

5. Snow Removal Balance

M. Scruton updated the Board on the snow removal balance. A number of invoices were received earlier in the day; the snow removal line has been overspent by \$13,945.40. That line will continue to be overspent; it's April and October, November and December still need to be covered. At the Deliberative Session, the Town could have looked at the budget and adjusted accordingly; however, that was not done at Deliberative Session.

Chair Hussey suggested freezing all unnecessary spending for now. The balance in the salt line is \$45,934. C. Deorocki and P. Hayden noted there is enough salt for one storm. C. Deorocki stated the salt usage needed to be tracked a lot better. T. Collins commented the foreman should be able to provide that information; C. Deorocki was in agreement, adding that DES has a program for it and he was in it.

Responding to a question from J. Rolston, M. Scruton stated that Jones had turned in three invoices earlier in the day. L. Cummings added that they were all early March invoices.

C. Deorocki commented they could find the money somewhere after if they have to. Chair Hussey suggested paving only one road instead of two. C. Deorocki: so we agree there are ways; excellent.

6. Other Old Business

Summer Help: P. Hayden's summer help will be returning.

Parking in Front of the Library and Parish House: Chair Hussey stated that definitely head-in parking is not working and asked if it should be made parallel only. P. Hayden thought the State had mandated that a few years ago. M. Scruton stated that Chief Laurent could write a letter to Division 6 and have that road changed because it's a State road. They will listen to the Chief's recommendation; she would follow the Board's recommendation on this matter. C. Deorocki disagreed. He felt the parking should be handicap or none at all.

MOTION: J. Rolston moved to direct the Chief of Police to contact Division 6 to have parallel parking only in front the Library and Parish House. Second – R. Hussey; four in favor, one opposed (C. Deorocki).

IV. NEW BUSINESS AND ADMINISTRATIVE

1. Harassment Training – Thursday, April 04, 2019

The Police Department will be holding a course on Workplace Harassment Prevention on Thursday, April 04, 2019, from 9:00 a.m. to 10:30 a.m. The Town Office staff would like to attend and close the office for that period of time.

MOTION: J. Rolston moved to approve the Town Office staff attending the Workplace Harassment Prevention course at the Police Department on Thursday, April 04, 2019, and closing the Town Office from 9:00 a.m. to 10:30 a.m. Second – C. Deorocki; all in favor. MOTION CARRIED

2. <u>Letter from Conservation Commission to Residents</u>

The Conservation Commission has drafted two letters to residents on Van Etten Drive, Cushman Way, Meaghan Way and Coastal Way: one letter was written to a resident who is encroaching on Town property; the other letter was a reminder to residents in the area updating them about Conservation Commission plans. Chair Hussey noted that the area is Town-owned open space.

Laura Byergo, Conservation Commission Chairman, drafted the first letter and asked the previous Town Administrator to add an appropriate paragraph to address the encroachment on the Town's open space. The point of the letter is to remind residents around the open space where the trail is being built that the Conservation Commission is working there and have plans for that area. They would like to see residents involved and it's a recreational area. The letter is also to remind them that it is Town-owned land and they cannot encroach and plant things.

L. Byergo added that the encroachment is not an infrastructure project; it's plants using landscaping fabric, gravel and trees. Flower beds were removed at the request of the homeowners association; however, he did not remove the rest. They also planted trees. The Conservation Commission told the homeowners several times the trees they planted were not on their land. This homeowner has done much more and gone much farther than the other homeowners. A separate letter was written to that homeowner. L. Byergo felt the letter should come from the Town, and not just the Conservation Commission, because it is Town-owned open space.

J. Rolston questioned adding a deadline for removing the plantings; L. Byergo was in agreement, adding that a statement was suggested to her: if not removed by a specific date the Town would take action to remove the trees. She would like him to remove the trees and put them on his property. The homeowner has expressed an interest in making a donation to the Town in order to do additional plantings. It was her understanding that the homeowner wanted the plantings directly behind his property and not part of a unified plan for the open space. She felt it was important to remind residents that while a trail was being built for the community to enjoy, there was no dumping, overnight camping, motorized vehicles or plantings.

C. Deorocki asked if this would be under the Building Inspector. L. Byergo responded it was not; it was not a physical building. L. Byergo suggested a generous time frame (this fall); J. Rolston disagreed. He also felt that any resident encroaching needed to be contacted. C. Deorocki requested that in the future if it was draft not to put "Greenland Board of Selectmen" until it was approved in case it was sent out by accident and something he didn't want his name on. That was just a small request. M. Scruton felt the goal could be accomplished with one letter rather than several by changing the wording slightly.

After further discussion, the Board was in agreement that the homeowner would be given a deadline of June 01, 2019.

MOTION: C. Deorocki moved to send one letter requesting all plantings over the boundaries be removed by June 01, 2019. Second – S. Smith; all in favor. MOTION CARRIED

3. Joint Meeting Request from Planning Board

The Planning Board has requested a joint meeting with the Board of Selectmen to discuss and review the policy/procedure for the Capital Improvement Plan. The Planning Board would like the support of the Selectmen. RSA 674:7 states it is a Planning Board project and every project, including the School, must participate.

Chair Hussey stated it was his personal opinion that the budget of any department not participating be kept frozen at last year's level. S. Smith stated the Planning Board was looking for guidance with the CIP; it hasn't been done for several years. Chair Hussey stated that department heads would submit their CIP and it would never make it to the Selectmen. The new Town Administrator assured him it would happen.

MOTION: C. Deorocki moved to meet with the Planning Board on Thursday, May 02, 2019 to discuss and review the policy/procedure for the Capital Improvement Plan. Second – J. Rolston; all in favor. MOTION CARRIED

4. Historical Society Application

M. Scruton was contacted by the Secretary of State that they received an application for a trade name "Greenland Historical Society of Greenland, NH". The implication may be that it is a Town board or Town-owned entity. The Secretary of State's office wanted to know if the Selectmen had any concerns with the name. J. Rolston stated he was a member of the Historical Society and they had no aspirations to do any "dirty deeds" with the Town's name. C. Deorocki is also a member.

MOTION: J. Rolston moved to approve the formation of the new Greenland Historical Society. Second – C. Deorocki; all in favor. MOTION CARRIED

5. Mailbox Damage Policy

Chair Hussey stated he was aware there was a complaint, but he was not entertaining any thoughts of handling each one individually. If there's a problem with the policy, it needed to be fixed. C. Deorocki stated he got an email and went to take a picture. He went to the Post Office and got the official federal guideline measurements, went and measured it; it was beneath and too low. Regardless of who installed it, when, why, whatever, it's too low so for that matter he saw no liability what-so-ever. C. Deorocki was fine with the federal guidelines; if they needed to go over and above that, then they do. If it's at the right height, it shouldn't get hit. Malicious or not. As a Board this is it, that's the way it is.

- M. Scruton asked, as clarification for residents, if the Board wanted to include the language in the contract on the website: The Contractor shall perform all services with good workmanship and is solely responsible for damage directly caused by work performed. Damage to mailboxes, residential lawns, irrigations systems, etc. shall be repaired to the satisfaction of the property owner, time being of the essence, if the damage is determined to be intentional or caused by negligence on the part of the Contractor.
- C. Deorocki felt the guidelines were sufficient in his opinion. J. Rolston didn't think it did any harm to include it; Chair Hussey agreed. J. Rolston added that as a former postmaster, this was a big headache. P. Hayden added that guidelines are posted on the Town website. C. Deorocki stated he was contacted by the resident by email and he said it would be on the agenda; he was invited to come.
- P. Hayden told the Board that the resident at 9 Moulton Avenue was in to talk to him earlier. Her mailbox was damaged in January; she had contacted the previous Town Administrator several times and we were just made aware of it. When P. Hayden receives a message from a resident, he gets a call (or message through the Town Office); he notifies J. Jones, who goes out to look at it that day. C. Deorocki added that he called J. Jones right up (P. Hayden was out); he was driving by and checked it.

The mailbox granite post on 9 Moulton Avenue was snapped in three places. P. Hayden stated they couldn't make a decision; the snow banks and everything were gone. There's only a destroyed post. After further discussion it was decided that since the granite pieces are still there, P. Hayden and J. Jones will look at the damage to make a determination. If it meets the criteria, the contractor will pay for the repairs.

6. Veteran's Credit

The resident at 7 September Drive (Map R7, 28) has applied for the All Veterans Tax Credit. He qualifies based on NH residency.

MOTION: J. Rolston moved to approve the All Veterans Tax Credit in the amount of \$600 for the resident at 7 September Drive (Map R7, 28) as required by law. Second – S. Smith; all in favor. MOTION CARRIED

7. Other New Business

Chair Hussey asked Dennis Malloy, State Representative, about the leasing method used at Town Meeting to avoid the super majority vote required with a bond. Was there any movement in the Legislature that would require a super majority for a lease? D. Malloy was unaware of any, but would check.

