



BOARD OF SELECTMEN
Town of Greenland • Greenland, NH 03840
11 Town Square • PO Box 100
Phone: 603.431.7111 • Fax: 603.430.3761
Website: greenland-nh.com

MINUTES OF THE BOARD OF SELECTMEN

Monday, August 06, 2018 – 7:00 p.m. – Town Hall Conference Room

Members Present: Chester Deorocki, Chip Hussey, Vaughan Morgan, Jim Rolston, Paul Sanderson
Staff: Karen Anderson – Town Administrator; Paul Hayden – Road Agent, Property Maintenance Supervisor; Tara Laurent – Police Chief; Ralph Cresta – Fire Chief

Acting Chair Deorocki opened the Board of Selectmen meeting at 7:00 p.m. A roll call was taken; it was announced a quorum was present and the meeting was being recorded.

I. APPOINTMENT

1. 2 Hillside Drive

Richard Ross, 2 Hillside Drive, gave notice to the Board that he has contacted his insurance company for property damage due to water. He had pictures and a video of the damage, and was seeking a resolution. Another heavy storm will flood his cellar again; everything in the cellar is “a goner”. He noted that he had a copy of the permit application from DES; the 8” existing drain on Meadow Lane was capped off but the existing drain on Hillside Drive was not capped. He continued that the old drainage system is tied into the new drainage system, which according to the Town’s paperwork is no longer in use, but apparently it is. A dye test was done and ran into Pickering Brook as well as in front of his garage door. R. Ross asked what the resolution would be and a time frame so his cellar didn’t continue to be undermined and house washed away.

K. Anderson stated that the Town has contracted with Underwood Engineers. Two proposals were submitted at 3:00 p.m. by Underwood from Eastern Pipe Services in response to the Selectmen’s decision to proceed with Alternative #2 for repairs on Hillside. They recommended the Town authorize the cleaning and CCTV work now on the 8” pipe. It was further recommended to wait for the results before proceeding any further.

Acting Chair Deorocki asked P. Hayden if there was a timeline from Underwood. P. Hayden responded that Ben Dreyer, Underwood Engineers, was going to contact him as soon as they know a date. B. Dreyer has filed it as an emergency to get the work done as quickly as possible. K. Anderson stated that if the Board authorized cleaning of 270’ of the 8” pipe, the contract can be moved forward with Eastern Pipe.

P. Sanderson asked R. Ross if he had all the documents from the engineer that were given to the Selectmen. R. Ross responded that he came in earlier in the day and was given most of them; some, he thought, could not be located. K. Anderson clarified that R. Ross had requested copies of the 2012 Bourassa work. All invoices are destroyed one year after the audit; those are not available. Engineering

work was not done in 2012; it was a regular paving contract. All current information has been given to R. Ross. R. Ross responded that it wasn't 2012, and Bourassa did more than pave; he put in storm basins. When the storm basins were put in, as far as R. Ross was aware, there was no DES permit; K. Anderson agreed, stating it was not required. R. Ross interrupted, stating there was no engineering design or no type of design on how the basins were being installed the first time.

P. Sanderson stated that R. Ross now has the information the Board has, and he will know what the Board knows. That was the best the Board could do until the situation could be investigated to find the real definition of the problem. P. Hayden added that he blocked the drain off in front of 6 Hillside Drive that flows towards 2 Hillside Drive earlier in the day (it was blocked off the best they could with what they had). P. Hayden was unsure if it would make a difference. R. Ross felt it may make a difference on his property; he was concerned there would still be water running in from the old drains back in 1963 when the houses were built. R. Ross continued there may be a problem at 6 Hillside Drive now and the apartment underneath. P. Hayden felt it should be deflected into the new drains; the drains were shut off after the tie-in of the new drains.

MOTION: C. Hussey moved to approve the proposal from Eastern Pipe Service for the cleaning and CCTV work for 270' of the 8" pipe, at the minimum day rate of \$2,500 per day. Second – P. Sanderson; all in favor. MOTION CARRIED

P. Hayden will contact Underwood Engineers.

II. PUBLIC HEARINGS

1. Acceptance of Donations

- a. National Night Out: The Chief Michael Maloney Fund has donated \$500 to National Night Out. Acting Chair Deorocki opened the meeting to public comments. There being none, he closed the public hearing and returned to the Board. V. Morgan, on behalf of the Town, thanked the Maloney Memorial Fund for their generous donation.

MOTION: J. Rolston moved to accept the \$500 donation from the Chief Michael Maloney Memorial Fund for National Night Out. Second – P. Sanderson; all in favor. MOTION CARRIED

- b. 300th Anniversary Celebration: Residents at 70 Cherry Hill Drive have made a \$100 donation to the 300th Anniversary Celebration. Acting Chair Deorocki opened the meeting to public comments. There being none, he closed the public hearing and returned to the Board. V. Morgan, on behalf of the Town, thanked the residents for their generous donation.

MOTION: J. Rolston moved to accept the \$100 donation from the residents at 70 Cherry Hill Drive for the 300th Anniversary Celebration. Second – P. Sanderson; all in favor. MOTION CARRIED

- c. State of New Hampshire Flag: A recent resident of Greenland, Attorney Vincent Marconi carried the State flag to the summit of Mt. Rainier. He has donated that flag to the Town. Acting Chair Deorocki opened the meeting to public comments. There being none, he closed the public hearing and returned to the Board. V. Morgan, on behalf of the Town, thanked Attorney Marconi for his gift and educational facts concerning Mt. Rainier.

MOTION: P. Sanderson moved to accept the donation of the State of New Hampshire flag that was carried to the summit of Mt. Rainier from Attorney Vincent Marconi. Second – J. Rolston; all in favor.
MOTION CARRIED

2. E-911: Renaming and Renumbering Roads (RSA 231:133 and RSA 231:133-a)

The Board received a copy of the name changes submitted by residents. Great Bay Drive East and West submitted a petition, endorsed by all the residents, to maintain the name of “Great Bay Drive”. Great Bay Road residents have requested to keep “Great Bay Road”; they have also offered other suggestions: Pheasant Ridge, Quail Run, Autumn Ridge, Winter Hill, and a recommendation of Gowen Road or Gowen Farm Road. Leonard Schwab submitted the name of Edgewater Drive if Great Bay Drive East and West must be changed.

Acting Chair Deorocki opened the meeting to public comments. Ed Helmbrecht, Great Bay Road, felt they should retain their street name and Great Bay Drive East and West change their name. Tom Decoster, Great Bay Drive East, felt Great Bay Drive should be retained as the name of their road because of its proximity to the waterfront; Great Bay Road is the junction of what will become Dearborn Road to Bayside, never being near any body of water. He continued it would be confusing to name it “Great Bay anything”. Comments included that Great Bay Road is a State road and has been there 200 years longer than Great Bay Drive East and West; being along the water has nothing do with it, especially since it’s been named that for such a long time.

The Board was asked how this type of controversy was solved in the past. V. Morgan stated there usually isn’t that type of controversy. C. Hussey added the Board had decided over the last several years there would only be one “Bay” road. Responding to calls is too confusing, especially if you haven’t grown up in Greenland. Right now, Bayside Road has not been changed. The “Bay” roads have been the biggest problem when responding to an emergency call.

Acting Chair Deorocki stated that Great Bay Drive had recently been renamed; recycling the name and the numbers will make it more confusing for everyone involved. Chief Laurent was in agreement with Acting Chair Deorocki that recycling names would be confusing. Numbers will not be recycled.

“Great Bay Cove” was suggested rather than Great Bay Drive. Chief Laurent stated that “Great Bay Cove” was not significantly different and distinguishable enough to avoid confusion. Other “Bay” roads have been changed. The “Bays” have become such an issue that one “Bay” would be the appropriate approach for the Town as far as safety was concerned. Chief Laurent would completely understand if the Board took history into consideration. Her job was safety.

Chief Laurent explained that the “Bays” were looked at as a whole. There were three “Bay” phases prior to this. The goal was to keep one “Bay”. The discussions have been that one “Bay” is ideal, especially when the Town has been overrun by “Bay”. The changes need to be clear and abrupt for mutual aid responders.

Responding to how it was decided to keep Bayside, V. Morgan stated that the RSA didn’t require the Board to hold a public hearing for changing road names. However, the Board wanted to hear from residents and, hopefully, come up with an amicable name to all involved. If residents could not resolve the name issue, it would be continued to the next meeting, and the Board would decide. The Board was asked if they preferred a name without “Bay” included; the Board was in agreement they didn’t want any more “Bay” roads. P. Sanderson stated there were two neighborhoods of equal value that wanted the same thing and he had no way to differentiate the neighborhoods. In all likelihood, the Board would

take the Chief's recommendation and not use "Great Bay" in either place, and would ask residents to submit the most agreeable name to the largest number of people. Residents were asked to email suggestions to K. Anderson. Chief Laurent reminded residents that "street" or "road" should not be used if it wasn't a thruway. C. Hussey suggested that at the intersection of Great Bay Drive East and West numbers with arrows be included on the street sign; Chief Laurent was in agreement (P. Hayden made the original suggestion).

There was a brief discussion about numbering east to west rather than west to east on Great Bay Road. Chief Laurent explained that 911 tried to go from the center of Town; in addition, they never go "up" into a dead end. There's also a major intersection taken into consideration with the numbering.

This has been continued to the meeting on Monday, August 20, 2018.

III. APPOINTMENT

1. Amendment of Ordinance 13.02, Section 10.0 - Highways, Traffic and Parking: Chief Laurent

Chief Laurent has received several complaints from the Portsmouth Avenue area near the Portsmouth/Greenland line about tractor trailers coming through. She followed some of the trucks: they went down to Suds N Soda, took a left through the 151 lights, and then a right onto Ocean Road to go to the Travel Center. She has learned that Google Maps is turning the traffic red or yellow (indicating a stop/backup in traffic) when four or five trucks are lined up on Rt. 33 to take left onto Ocean Road and then a right into the Travel Center parking lot, even though that many trucks can get through one rotation of the light. Due to the red/yellow traffic on the mapping application, other trucks are being rerouted around to get to the Travel Center "quicker".

Chief Laurent wasn't sure how to address the situation other than making that section of Portsmouth Avenue a "No Thru Truck" area. She has spoken to NHDOT. It's a State road from Suds N Soda to the intersection of Newington Road; Portsmouth is on the other end of Portsmouth Avenue. It would be a Town road/decision from the Portsmouth/Greenland line to Newington Road.

Chief Laurent explained that "No Thru Trucks" was not banning delivery trucks; it's not a major artery and is not needed to get from Point A to Point B. J. Rolston questioned enforcement. If it was designated, Chief Laurent could push the information into Google and other mapping applications; that might stop the trucks. It's difficult to get them to switch anything. Chief Laurent has not discussed this issue with the City of Portsmouth. C. Hussey suggested speaking with the Traffic Commission about putting a sign at one end and it could be enforced on the Greenland side. Trucks will still use the road if a sign isn't posted at Rt. 33 and Greenland Road.

Responding to a question from Acting Chair Deorocki, Chief Laurent felt the biggest problem with the trucks was the volume and safety in the area. His concern was where does it end (would it be setting a precedent)? Would it be the same as the street light that was discussed at the last meeting, with residents saying they didn't want trucks on their road? Chief Laurent stated she would agree with Acting Chair Deorocki if technology wasn't sending them down that road. Acting Chair Deorocki commented it seemed like the Town would be starting to cater to every want and need. Chief Laurent stated it's a residential neighborhood and it wasn't built for that amount of trucks, and she worries about the infrastructure. A traffic count hasn't been done.

Joanne Spradlin, 9 Rosemary Lane, asked if it could be pushed through Google without putting up the signs. Acting Chair Deorocki stated it may be possible, but he would not endorse that; it seemed a little “shady”. Chief Laurent will research if it’s an option to say “not recommended for” certain things is accepted by Google. J. Rolston was in favor of that rather than posting signs. If that can’t be done, Chief Laurent suggested a traffic study.

P. Sanderson was concerned about the turning radius of trucks with 53’ trailers. He suggested it could be run through a turning template, stated it wasn’t safe, and close on that basis. That would be a legitimate reason to invoke the Ordinance.

Chief Laurent will report back to the Board after contacting Google and DOT. She will also find out if a traffic count is needed.

IV. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

1. Monday, July 23, 2018

MOTION: V. Morgan moved to approve the minutes of Monday, July 23, 2018. Second – P. Sanderson; four in favor, one abstain (C. Hussey). MOTION CARRIED

V. OLD BUSINESS

1. Coakley Update

K. Anderson, stating it was disappointing to the Town, updated the Board that Jillian Lane, the Town’s representative to various State committees and a very active advocate for clean water in the Breakfast Hill Road area, is moving out of Town. The Board will need to find a replacement. V. Morgan thanked J. Lane for her service to the Town, adding she has done a very good job. K. Anderson will research the position, which is Legislative, to make sure it’s available.

2. Fire Department Design

The Building Inspector requested to be involved in the design process. V. Morgan welcomed his thoughts and ideas. Acting Chair Deorocki stated he should be involved in any building projects. P. Sanderson’s concern was that if the Building Inspector was involved in the design and then asked to do the permitting, it could be an issue. He felt his input would be valuable, but wanted to be careful of his role with inspections. P. Sanderson suggested contracting outside inspectional services for that structure. Chief Cresta asked if the Building Inspector could be used in an advisory capacity rather than on the committee; the response was “yes”. P. Sanderson didn’t want him kept in the dark, but wanted him to be unconflicted.

At the last meeting, the Board discussed moving forward with the engineering and design of a new fire station. V. Morgan stated the proposal from John Ricci made sense to him. He was ready to work with the Fire Chief on putting together a building committee to work with the Town to develop a wants and needs list. They would then move on to develop preliminary floor plans and the scope of work.

C. Hussey was undecided if it should be a design/build or contractor built. Other than that, he had no problem moving forward. P. Sanderson suggested a geo-tech investigation on the proposed location for the design stage. There were some buried gas tanks in that location from its prior use. Extensive studies

have been done and there are monitoring wells on the site. Phase I and II environmental studies have been done. Funds are available to take the next step. P. Sanderson was concerned with the ability of the soil and the weight of the proposed building. Before the Board decided that was the site, he wanted borings done for the materials underneath to see what the soils could bear. Once those things were done, P. Sanderson felt organizing a committee would be a good idea. K. Anderson asked if the funds from the warrant article for design and engineering could be used for the study. P. Sanderson felt those funds could be used; the first step was to determine what the soil can bear.

3. Snow Plow Contract

Board members received a revised copy of the contract at the beginning of the meeting. K. Anderson noted the minor changes, which were in **bold type** (copy on file). Acting Chair Deorocki stated there was a problem in the past with who got called first, the Town employee or contractor, when there was a problem. That change had already been made. Acting Chair Deorocki reviewed other minor changes that were made.

MOTION: C. Hussey moved to send the revised snow plow contract out as an RFP. Second – J. Rolston; all in favor. MOTION CARRIED

K. Anderson will send the “marked up” copy of the snow plow contract to the current contractor, showing the changes in bold.

4. Payment of Architect Invoice – Weeks Library

MOTION: C. Hussey moved to authorize the withdrawal of \$72,496.88 from the Capital Reserve Fund for the first payment to Lavallee and Brensinger. The expenditure of these funds shall be limited to engineering required and approval of the septic plan, design of a stormwater management plan and receipt of any and all required State of New Hampshire and federal permits. All project plans must be reviewed by the Planning Board. At such time the permits are received, the Planning Board has reviewed and made its recommendation, and the final specifications for the building are determined, the engineer can begin on the Library addition. The Library Trustees should take one more look at reducing the size of the addition. Second – J. Rolston

Discussion: P. Sanderson was in favor of paying the invoice to the architect. However, the motion limited the use of those funds and may be contrary to the language of the contract that has been signed. C. Hussey felt it didn’t but rather stated the order it be done. P. Sanderson didn’t want the Board to get involved in something that was contrary to the terms of their contract.

P. Sanderson continued that when this issue was brought before the Board at the last meeting, it was emailed by the Library’s Director at 4:00 p.m. on a Friday, which is past the time the agenda closes. The invoice wasn’t part of the Board’s package for the Monday night meeting; members didn’t have a chance to review the invoice. That was the basis of P. Sanderson’s “no” vote at that meeting. He requested that they work through their liaison to get materials in so issues can be placed on the agenda and resolved by the Selectmen at the appropriate time. K. Anderson added the deadline was Thursday prior to the meeting at noon. M. McLaughlin stated they have changed the process for how bills are to be handled; there should be no hold up to prevent them from being submitted in a timely fashion. P. Sanderson commended the Library for meeting with the Planning Board; they provided a lot of good information. He also asked C. Hussey to amend his motion to pay the invoice in accordance with the contract that exists with the architect.

C. McLaughlin asked Ron Lamarre, architect, to attend the meeting to talk about the practicalities of the work that has been done, what's logical, obtaining the necessary State approvals, etc. C. Hussey didn't want the Library to move forward with engineering until they knew if the proposed expansion would work on the site. R. Lamarre responded it would be done in the proper manner to avoid problems. They have heard from the Library Trustees and Planning Board "could the building be made smaller"; it's also been heard at this meeting from the Selectmen. R. Lamarre responded that "of course; they would like to work with everybody". Understanding the side yard setbacks at 20' and 20', they were really looking for 40' between structures. The closest structure to the neighbor is 31' away and roughly 9' on the Library's side. They could slide it further if there was a request. Acting Chair Deorocki responded that the setbacks are 20' and 20'. R. Lamarre stated they are 25' from the Church; they were going to work with everyone.

The calculations discussed at the Planning Board meeting were sent to DES. They did receive an email based on Planning Board information and their review with the Civil Engineer; Underwood Engineers stated there wasn't any reason DES wouldn't approve this. R. Lamarre explained the DES process. It will be two to three weeks before an approval is sent, which will be in the form of comments. They did tell the voters there was a fixed price that would not be exceeded. Their job was to complete the project as quickly as possible. R. Lamarre stated that calculations have been done and they have worked very hard on the building; walls may be moved in slightly. They have been moving forward to allow it to go out to bid and built as quickly as possible with winter approaching. It's geared to getting it built on time and on budget as promised.

C. McLaughlin quoted Underwood Engineers (in writing): "Although there are no guarantees, they are not aware of anything that would prevent approval by NH DES". That was based on the same drawings that were provided to the Planning Board last week. M. McLaughlin requested that C. Hussey "back off" from preventing their forward progress. C. Hussey responded that he was concerned about the size of the building. V. Morgan was in favor of paying the invoice in accordance with the contract. C. Hussey withdrew his motion.

MOTION: P. Sanderson moved to pay the invoice to Lavallee Bresinger in the amount of \$72,496.88. Second – J. Rolston; all in favor. MOTION CARRIED

P. Sanderson's comments after reviewing the plans received at the Planning Board meeting: Septic--What is the impact of rule ENV-WQ 1008.01 (max lot loading) and how was Underwood Engineers going to deal with that? That rule indicates the maximum loading for a lot is 2,000 gallons per day per acre. The Library is approximately 1/3 of an acre, which would yield approximately 670 gallons per day as the maximum load and 1,150 gallons per day is proposed. The Parish House shares the septic with the Library. Drainage--The southerly end of the Parish House elevation is started at 102, the northerly end of the Library lot is 82; there is a 20' difference. The Parish House is 100% impervious. The drainage calculations did not deal with any of the runoff from the Parish House building or lot, even though topo indicates it's headed in that direction. How are they going to deal with the stormwater from the Parish House and lot? Turning Radius--P. Sanderson was concerned about the separation of the addition and the Parish House, which is 17', measured from a property line. There are three window wells that extend 3'; they are there under a recorded license between the Town and Parish House. If a fire department truck had to get to the back of the Parish House, how does it get around without hitting the addition? This is a turning template problem. R. Lamarre will address P. Sanderson's comments with Underwood Engineering. He will have a written response through the Library Trustees to the Board of Selectmen.

M. McLaughlin stated that Rich Winsor, Planning Board Vice Chairman, kept referring to the fact that the Planning Board would act in an advisory capacity to the Selectmen. However, this is not the Selectmen's project. She asked how they were advisory to the Selectmen. P. Sanderson stated it was a misstatement. RSA 674-54 states they would be going to the Planning Board to give information at a required public hearing 60 days in advance of construction. P. Sanderson commented that R. Winsor meant they were not giving approvals or denials; they would be with the Parish House because they are not public property. They are advisory on the Town project as long as funds are disbursed through the Capital Reserve Fund.

5. Corson Way Right-of-Way

This issue was continued from the last meeting in order to include the minutes from the Planning Board meetings in 2001 and 2002 when the development was done by Don Cook as well as a copy of the recorded plan that shows the 20' lane. The minutes showed that when it was developed, D. Cook planned to access at least one of the back lots by utilizing an existing active 20' right-of-way which goes back 150 years. The right-of-way was continued to the next meeting where D. Cook noted he had the right to use the 20' deeded right-of-way.

K. Anderson stated that the problem is occurring at the point after lot 8A. Rocks and boulders have been put at that spot and Wally Berg can't access his back lot. He is asking the Board to have D. Cook remove the obstruction. W. Berg has not contacted D. Cook. C. Hussey felt the issue did not involve the Town. K. Anderson noted that the right-of-way didn't show as owned by either W. Berg or D. Cook; it has been an existing lane. P. Sanderson noted it was a Class VI road, the same as Stearns Way. D. Cook acknowledged, as far back as 2001, that it was a road to be used by the public; it was created that way and never formerly discontinued. The public has a right to use the right-of-way. The Selectmen regulate it but do not have to pay to maintain it or take any steps to maintain it. The Board could require D. Cook to remove any obstruction; it's open to the public and has to be the entire length.

MOTION: J. Rolston moved to have the Town Administrator send a letter to Don Cook requesting the obstruction of the right-of-way be removed. Second – V. Morgan; all in favor. MOTION CARRIED

6. Other Old Business

- Road/Street Striping: The RFP will be sent out this week.
- Newington Road: P. Hayden will contact Portsmouth Water about the leak under Newington Road and get the information to C. Hussey.
- Bench at Remembrance Park: P. Hayden will remove the damaged bench temporarily until it can be repaired.
- Van Etten Drive: A letter was sent to Troy Thibodeau and Brian Beck regarding the HOA concerns on Van Etten Drive. V. Morgan stated that if there was no response by the end of the week, he would like the Town Administrator or Property Maintenance Supervisor to take the appropriate action to remedy the situation. P. Sanderson recommended that before action is taken, the Town needed to know the cost because the developer's bond could potentially be charged. A value would be needed to deduct from the bond. The developers should be given advance notice the work was going to be done and that the bond would be charged. C. Hussey clarified that the Town would only be dealing with the right-of-way issues. \$1,500 was owed to a resident for drainage issues in the driveway, the stones need to be moved from the right-of-way that were blocking a portion of a residence and by the recreation area, and K. Anderson will verify if the metal wire is on Town open space.

MOTION: P. Sanderson moved that if the developer doesn't respond by August 10, 2018, steps be taken to value the work that needs to be done on Van Etten Drive. The developer will be notified that if the Town does the work, the bond will be charged the appropriate amount. Second – V. Morgan; all in favor. MOTION CARRIED

- Town Car: Acting Chair Deorocki asked about repairs to the Town car. K. Anderson stated that the check was received last week from the insurance company for the repairs. It will be scheduled for repairs at Ben's.
- Lonza: The Planning Board has asked C. Hussey to discuss with the Selectmen having Mark Fougere, Planning Board Consultant, continue to search for counsel to address the issue of regional impact with the City of Portsmouth and the PDA. K. Anderson stated that the attorneys contacted to date have a conflict. C. Hussey added that if an agreement is reached, it will need to be reviewed by an attorney. P. Sanderson stated that during the Planning Board discussion, Steve Gerrato, the Town's rep to the RPC, suggested that more time be given to the RPC process to work. All other members of the Planning Board were clear they wanted the process started to locate an attorney sooner rather than later. M. Fougere may find someone to represent the Town. Planning Board recordings are not online, but are available.

MOTION: C. Hussey moved to have Mark Fougere, Planning Board Consultant, search for an attorney to assist with the City of Portsmouth and PDA regarding projects of regional impact. Second – P. Sanderson; all in favor. MOTION CARRIED

- Existing Fire Station: C. Hussey recommended that the Building Inspector put together specs for an RFP to repair the wall, window and door replacement, removal of the "bomb shelter" and to replace siding on the existing Fire Station. That building may be used for other Town business when the new station is built. P. Sanderson stated that last year items of repair were identified; it started with the roof and moved down into the steel superstructure, etc. All that's been done is the roof. The reuse of that building is unknown if and when the fire station is authorized. P. Sanderson asked if it was premature to relocate windows and doors. C. Hussey stated they should be replaced not relocated. He discussed what he felt should be repaired or replaced. Acting Chair Deorocki disagreed; he didn't feel any more money should be put into the building until the use was known. If no maintenance was done, it would be the same strength it is now. C. Hussey noted it could be 20 years before a new station is built; why not make it look nice for the guys in there now? Acting Chair Deorocki responded that the guys in there now could start making it look nicer—there's garbage everywhere and it's overgrown. C. Hussey responded the biggest complaint he hears is "why build a new fire station when they can't maintain the one they have". The discussion continued regarding remodeling the current station. P. Sanderson felt it was premature to do more than repair and preserve. Acting Chair Deorocki didn't think the way to get a new fire station was to repair the old one first. C. Hussey responded he would be at the voting and tell voters not to give them a new station if they couldn't fix the old one. His personal opinion was if you don't fix the old one don't bother with a new one. Responding to a question from P. Sanderson, C. Hussey would like the Building Inspector to do some spec work on the existing station. P. Sanderson was in favor of the Building Inspector providing the Board with more in-depth inspections based on what he knows now. The roof will be done in October and he should have a better idea of the condition. Acting Chair Deorocki questioned if it was the Property Maintenance Supervisor's job rather than the Building Inspector. C. Hussey stated that the Property Maintenance Supervisor was busy until October. C. Hussey wanted to know the costs, and the Building Inspector didn't have much on his plate right now. The Building Inspector agreed to do the inspection of the building with the Board's permission. V. Morgan was in agreement to have the Building Inspector do an in-depth inspection.

VI. NEW BUSINESS AND ADMINISTRATIVE

1. Review of Town Administrator's Bi-Weekly Report

- Bond Counsel Update: All the information has been sent to Optima Bank and the Bond Council. The attorney has concerns about the 10 year adjustable rate from Optima. They are reviewing it under the applicable federal tax rules. A government obligation bond generally doesn't have a variable adjustable rate. It may be necessary to renegotiate with Optima for a fixed term rate.
- Revaluation: Appointments have been scheduled for Monday and Tuesday of this week, and Monday, August 13th. As of August 01, 54 appointments were scheduled (3% of residential properties); that is well within what was anticipated. Most appointments have resulted in no change or minor corrections to the property card. The average increase was 14%.
- MS-4 Notice of Intent: The Notice of Intent for the Town's stormwater compliance must be submitted to the EPA by October 01, 2018. K. Anderson has coordinated some of Greenland's input through the Seacoast Stormwater Coalition. They are working on some of the educational points to be on a regional basis, and will save the Town money. K. Anderson filed the Year 15 Annual Report for the 5 Year Permit that expired in 2008. The annual report was filed last week.
- Highway Block Grant: This is aid received each year from the State. There was a slight increase for the 2019 fiscal year. Funds are based on \$13 for each resident in Town as well as money for mileage. The total for 2019 will be \$88,768.24; last fiscal year was \$85,263.67.
- Municipal Hydrant Rental Fees: Greenland has been notified by the City of Portsmouth that the Hydrant Rental Fee will increase in 2019 from \$225 per hydrant to \$250 per hydrant. This is an increase from \$15,075 to \$15,750.
- National Night Out: National Night Out will be held on Tuesday, August 07, 2018, at the Fire Station.

2. Other New Business

- Pools: V. Morgan updated members that the Building Inspector is looking at pools, noting that fences need to be at least 5'. Selectmen will receive a listing of pools that are not in compliance.
- Permeable Asphalt: J. Rolston updated members that the Building Inspector spoke to him about the permeable asphalt at Target and Lowes. It should be vacuumed annually, and it's not. P. Sanderson stated it should be an enforcement action. The Building Inspector will need to follow up with Packard Development.

VII. ACCOUNTS PAYABLE – THURSDAY, AUGUST 06, 2018

1. Payroll Manifest 16

MOTION: J. Rolston moved to approve Payroll Manifest 16 in the amount of \$57,501.43. Second – V. Morgan; all in favor. MOTION CARRIED

2. General Fund Manifest 16

MOTION: J. Rolston moved to approve General Fund Manifest 16 in the amount of \$326,254.39 (School - \$235,000). Second – V. Morgan; all in favor. MOTION CARRIED

VIII. ADJOURNMENT

MOTION: C. Hussey moved to adjourn at 8:55 p.m. Second – J. Rolston; all in favor. MOTION CARRIED

NEXT MEETING

Monday, August 20, 2018 – 7:00 p.m., Town Hall Conference Room

Respectfully Submitted – Charlotte Hussey, Secretary to the Boards

Approved: Monday, August 20, 2018